

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3-7, and 9-11 remain in the application with claims 1, 3, 7, and 9 having been amended hereby and claims 2 and 8 having been canceled, without prejudice or disclaimer.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of claims 1, 3-7, and 9-11 under 35 USC 102(e), as being anticipated by Satoh et al.

In paragraph 3 of the instant Official Action claims 2 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 1 has been amended hereby to include claim 2 in its entirety. Claim 2 has been canceled.

Claim 7 has been amended hereby to include claim 8 in its entirety. Claim 8 has been canceled.

Therefore, by reason of the allowable subject matter being included in the independent claims in this application, it is respectfully submitted that all claims remaining in the application are now in condition for allowance.

Claims 3 and 9 have been amended hereby to correctly set forth the operation of the switching between the first and second power supply circuits as shown in Fig. 3. That is, a time 3 the

power supplies are switched simultaneously.

Accordingly, by reason of the amendments made to the claims hereby, as well as the above remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all claims remaining in this application are now in condition for allowance.

The references cited as of interest have been reviewed and are not seen to show or suggest the present invention recited in the amended claims.

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
COOPER & DUNHAM LLP



Jay H. Maioli
Reg. No. 27, 213

JHM:tb